

MARKING CRITERIA and STUDENT FEEDBACK

This section details the assessment criteria. The *extent* to which these are demonstrated by you determines your mark. The marks available for each criterion are shown. Lecturers will use the space provided to comment on the achievement of the task(s), including those areas in which you have performed well and areas that would benefit from development/improvement.

Common Assessment Criteria Applied	Marks Available	Marks Awarded
1. Engagement with Literature Skills		
Referencing done fairly well but has not been continued throughout the work, resulting in a limited number of references.. No quoted laws, GDPR mentioned twice, without dates or referencing.. You could have used the built in software to help. Numbering of references is a bit random in places.	20	7
2. Knowledge and Understanding Skills		
The Department design showed appropriate application of relevant theories and concepts in places, however this was fairly piecemeal with repetition of principles, for example in Protection Mechanisms you say regarding risk assessments the more sensitive the data is the more it needs to be protected, But your actual risk assessment does not show this- it is rather too generic.	10	6
3. Cognitive and Intellectual Skills		
The Essay about Software used in data Protection looks at the software but does not relate its application to Data Security in many cases. It tends to be rather generic and more about general Network security. Discussion of data breaches cites many non-referenced breaches, the conclusion is fine but could have had fewer examples. The New department design had short conclusion in some spots and not others, resulting in a fairly piecemeal bit of work. Analysis tends to be un-focused.	25	12
4. Practical Application Skills		
The sub- conclusion, such as in the contingencies planning part of your design was really well thought through, however many sections lacked them and the overall conclusion of the research section was not related to Data security very well. . Although the department design was approached carefully, there are areas such as policies and risk assessments where the approach was far too generic The research essay did not have a relevant introduction, nor did the Department design have a conclusion pulling the bits together.	25	10
5. Transferable Skills for Life and Professional Practice		
The presentation and flow of the report showed thought, the written approach was good. However it drifted away from Data Security- the whole aim of the report, often.	20	12

Assignment Mark (Assessment marks are subject to ratification at the Exam Board. These comments and marks are to give feedback on module work and are for guidance only until they are confirmed.)

Late Submission Penalties (tick if appropriate)

Up to four weeks late

1st/2nd/3rd attempt?

1st

47